APPENDIX 2

Recommendation	Timescale	Harrow Performance	Summary of Performance
<mark>39</mark>	3 months	4. In place and reviewed	4. Very Effective
19	<mark>3 months</mark>	3. In place	
29	6 months	4. In place and reviewed	
21	<mark>3 months</mark>	3. In place	
<mark>93</mark>	3 months	4. In place and reviewed	
<mark>98</mark>	3 months	4. In place and reviewed	
<mark>48</mark>	<mark>3 months</mark>	3. In place	
<mark>53(1)</mark>	3 months	4. Consistently	3. Satisfactory
<mark>53(2)</mark>	3 months	4. Consistently	
<mark>34(1)</mark>	6 months	3 In place	
<mark>34(2)</mark>	6 months	4. Consistently	
18	3 months	4. Consistently	
<mark>35</mark>	3 months	4. In place and reviewed	
22	3months	3. In place	
2 <mark>4</mark>	3 months	4. Consistently	
25(1)	3 months	3. Usually	
<mark>25(2)</mark>	3 months	4. Consistently	
<mark>36</mark>	3 months	4. Consistently	
6 <mark>3</mark>	3 months	4. Consistently	
<mark>56</mark>	3 months	4. Consistently	
<mark>58</mark>	3 months	3.Usually	3. Satisfactory
<mark>54</mark>	6 months	4. Completely met	
<mark>55</mark>	3 months	3. In place	
<mark>26</mark>	3 months	4. Consistently	
40	3 months	4. Consistently	
<mark>52</mark>	6 months	4. Completely met	
61	<mark>6 months</mark>	3. In place	
38	3 months	3. Usually	
23	3 months	4. Consistently	
42	3 months	3. In place	
51	3 months	4. Consistently	
4 <mark>6</mark>	3 months	3. In place	3. Satisfactory
57	6 months	2. Being developed	
<mark>59</mark>	<mark>6 months</mark>		
38	3 months	3. Usually	
20	6 months		3. Satisfactory
	6 months		
	6 months		
	6 months		
43			
60	6 months	4. Consistently	3. Satisfactory
	29 21 93 98 48 53(1) 53(2) 34(1) 34(2) 18 35 22 24 25(1) 25(2) 36 63 56 58 54 55 26 40 52 61 38 23 42 51 46 57 59 38	19 3 months 29 6 months 21 3 months 93 3 months 98 3 months 98 3 months 53(1) 3 months 53(2) 3 months 34(1) 6 months 34(2) 6 months 35 3 months 22 3 months 23 3 months 24 3 months 25(1) 3 months 24 3 months 25(2) 3 months 36 3 months 58 3 months 58 3 months 58 3 months 54 6 months 55 3 months 56 3 months 57 3 months 58 3 months 54 6 months 55 3 months 56 3 months 57 3 months 58 3 months 51 3 months 52 6 months	193 months3. In place296 months4. In place and reviewed213 months4. In place and reviewed933 months4. In place and reviewed483 months3. In place53(1)8 months4. Consistently53(2)3 months4. Consistently53(2)6 months3 ln place34(1)6 months3 ln place34(2)6 months4. Consistently34(2)6 months4. Consistently353 months4. Consistently363 months4. Consistently273 months3. In place283 months4. Consistently363 months4. Consistently25(1)3 months3. Usually25(2)3 months4. Consistently363 months4. Consistently376 months3. In place383 months4. Consistently393 months4. Consistently303 months4. Consistently313 months4. Consistently323 months3. In place393 months4. Consistently393 months4. Consistently303 months4. Consistently3194. Consistently329 months3. In place339 months4. Consistently346 months3. In place353 months4. Consistently363 mon

Audit of Services to Children in Need in response to the practical recommendations of the Climbié Inquiry

	<mark>50</mark>	3 months	3. In place	
	<mark>45</mark>	3 months	<mark>3. Usually</mark>	
	<mark>44</mark>	3 months	3. In place	
	<mark>30</mark>	3 months	3. In place	
7. Governance	27(1)	6 months	Yes	3. Satisfactory
	<mark>27(2)</mark>	6 months	Adequate/ 4.In place & reviewed	
	<mark>27(3)</mark>	6 months	Yes / Usually	
	30	3 months	In place	
	<mark>49</mark>	6 months	4. In Place & reviewed	
	28	6 months	3. In place	
	<mark>41</mark>	6 months	Yes / In place	
	54	6 months	More frequently	